United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITE V.	ED ST	TATES OF AMERICA	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	alupe	e Sosa-Ramirez	Case Number: 1:06-cr-00316	
facts re	In a equire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142 the detention of the defendant pending trial in this care	(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following se.	
	(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described offense state or local offense that would have be jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 an offense for which the maximum sentence is li		
	(3)	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable of the offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed single imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1) Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable p	had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described state or local offenses. while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state the date of conviction release of the defendant from resumption that no condition or combination of conditions will and the community. I further find that the defendant has not	
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	Findings (A) t has committed an offense n years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act	
	(2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	blished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions	
\square	(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app		
	l fir		t of Reasons for Detention d at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that	
Co	urt's a	idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to cont attention should his circumstances change. Idant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be re	est detention at this time but reserving the right to bring the issue to the eased in any case.	
appeal the Un	ions f . The ited S	e defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorne facility separate, to the extent practicable, from person a defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity	Regarding Detention General or his designated representative for confinement in a sawaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the arance in connection with a court proceeding.	
December 27, 2006			Ellen S. Carmody	
Date		Sig	nature of Judge	
			en S. Carmody, United States Magistrate Judge me and Title of Judge	
		110	no ana mio oi baago	